

May 29<sup>th</sup>, 2020

Hello Mayor and Council

My wife and I reside at [REDACTED] Grand Cypress Lane and are aware of the information contained in staff report PDS.20.44.

It is our belief Mr Rose's application PDS.20.44 that is now before Council is a 2nd attempt to have an additional driveway entrance off Grand Cypress Lane. This would then allow him to apply for a 2nd time to obtain a property Severance for the purpose of development.

Council rejected in April 2017 an application to sever his property

Council rejected in September 2018 a motion to have the 0.3 meter reserve lifted

Monterra Estates Property Owners were not in favour of either of Mr Rose's applications then and are still not in favour of them now. In particular it is our understanding that the 0.3 meter reserve serves as a necessary public safety feature. As stated in PDS.20.44 Staff Report, the primary purpose of the 0.3 meter reserve is to control access from a public road to a private property and vice versa. For example, the Staff Report proposes the entrance to be mere feet away from the Golf Course Golf Cart crossway. This is already an area frequented by pedestrians, cyclists, including young children. In addition the proposed driveway would be adjacent to the community mailbox that is frequented by all community residents, and it is noted that the area does not have sidewalks, thereby creating potential hazardous conditions for vehicular and pedestrian traffic. This is precisely why the reserve was put in place and so it should remain.

In addition, density has to be, more now than ever studied and well thought out. It's imperative to our health and welfare.

The severing of Mr Rose's property is not in keeping with the integrity of our neighbourhood with large and spacious lots, therefore we strongly oppose the PDS.20.44 application.

Regards

Vincent McEwan

Karen Martin