From: Tom Strnad <>
Sent: Friday, January 24, 2020 1:27 PM
To: Corrina Giles < >; Shawn Everitt < >; Alar Soever < >; Rob Potter < >; Peter Bordignon < >; Andrea Matrosovs < >; Rob Sampson < >; Jim Uram < >; Tim Hendry < >; Reg Russwurm < >; Mike Campbell < >
Subject: Price’s Subdivision - Request to Postpone Upcoming Design

Dear Mayor, Members of Council and Staff,

(To be included as official correspondence with Council and Staff by the Clerk)

I am writing to request the postponement of the upcoming design phase of the sanitary sewer extension in the Price’s Development until additional phases occur.

To date I have not received any response to my deputation presented on February 20, 2019 with a primary request of the town staff to seek federal and provincial grants to pay for any wastewater upgrades. Such grants and town reserve funds were offered to the residents of Carmichael Crescent, as detailed in Plan 915, with no payments made by individual residents for wastewater improvements. I am enclosing a link for review of my video deputation that includes this information:

https://vimeo.com/387008886/4e6e498d91

Of important note, as outlined in this video, is that as per the report, Preliminary Design Report for Wastewater Servicing and Stormwater Drainage Improvements (Greenland, 2018), the flow of stormwater "accounts for potentially 50%" of the flooding that occurs in our development. Therefore, the removal of this stormwater flow is crucial to understanding the next phases required for our development, that may still include the potential for additional stormwater infrastructure construction or a revised approach to wastewater.

With this culvert removal taking over a decade of deputations and correspondence letters to even move towards the 2018 Greenland report, why would the Town of The Blue Mountains rush into design of a sanitary sewer extension without first understanding how the culvert removal affects the development?

Why would the residents of Prices' Subdivision be asked to pay for such a project when our neighbours received a $4 Million wastewater build in 2009 at no cost to them?

I urge the Town of the Blue Mountains council and staff to review the video deputation and seek a multi-stage process as follows:

1) Proceed with the design plan for the removal and redirection of water flow on Grey Road 119 and proceed with that construction project as planned

2) Proceed with a grants and funding study for the wastewater project for Prices' Subdivision, just as that outlined in Plan 915 for Carmichael Crescent and area.
I look forward to having a response from my town representatives and staff at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Tom Strnad

Producer

Mountain Goat Film Company Inc.
Patricia Drive, Blue Mountains, Ontario, Canada L9Y 0P1
Office  Mobile

www.mountaingoatfilm.com
www.facebook.com/MGFC.ca
www.vimeo.com/mountaingoatfilm
Have you seen the Bridge?

We live in a coveted community here in The Blue Mountains. A place where the economy runs on scenic value. The Bay, the rivers, the Escarpment, the forest trails and the sense of community. It's important. It's why people in the know continue to migrate here. That's worth protecting right?

In Clarksburg and Thornbury we're lucky enough to have the meandering Beaver River, and also just a few blocks from downtown there's Clendenan Conservation Area. This 857 acre parcel of land is coveted and appreciated by everyone.

At the entrance is Clendenan historical footbridge. Built in 1930, the iron-structured piece of our heritage is packed with rivets and worn concrete, it fits in well with its surroundings inside the conservation boundaries. A sign of days gone by.

Originally this one-way bridge was drivable—something I remember as a teenager growing up here. It was a shortcut to Slabtown, and a backroad option. A good route for the apple farmers during the weeks of harvest.

In 2011 the bridge was deemed too dangerous for vehicles and since then has lived on well as a pedestrian bridge.

This footbridge means no through traffic rumblings. Instead it's the end of the road from either side. Time to walk. A quieter conservation area.

This footbridge works well for us. It serves a loop trail for dog walkers, rows of kids on field trips from the nearby school cruise this bridge. Meditation happens here, yoga is scheduled, sightseers and bird watchers stand on this bridge and enjoy the vantage point. Below the bridge is a world-class fishing hole. No cars allowed, making it safer and quieter for the wildlife and those seeking nature.

The historic Clendenan footbridge needs to be protected and conserved. It's located well within the conservation area boundaries on both sides. Big time scenic value. Appreciated by everyone.

Lately there's been some chatter on turning the entire 10th Line into a bypass because some residents are not happy about the traffic along Highway 26. Specifically, waiting at the light that intersects Thornbury downtown and the road to the harbour and beaches. As it stands, a few days a year, in the summer mostly, people need to wait at the light for a few minutes. Maybe even two lights worth of waiting. Not an actual traffic jam, but definitely a little irritating.

To get around that some think that the 10th Line (with two dozen homes and growing, soccer fields and cemeteries honouring earlier generations, just three blocks from main street) should be instead turned into an actual highway bypass. This would include paving a bypass through the Conservation area, tearing down peaceful Clendenan footbridge, and replacing it with two lane highway bypass bridge complete with streetlights.
Also, turns out that googlemaps and some signage can help solve the problem of highway 26 'through traffic congestion' anyhow. It'll do that by redirecting drivers to the faster route to Tobermory around our towns and up Grey road 40.

Weighing the options I would say to those who want to turn a community road that leads into a beautiful quiet conservation area into a highway bypass that they should just perhaps wait at the light once in a while.

And while waiting there, know that in doing so you're making our community happier and safer.

Know that you're not directing local and weekender traffic away from our downtown businesses. And know that in just a few moments, you'll be fine.

If that's not enough, you can also take a few turns and park down at the conservation area, walk out onto the historic Clendenan bridge, take a deep breath, and enjoy nature and solitude that you helped conserve.

Cheers

Glen
Cathy Little  
Chair, Grey Sauble Source Protection Authority  
RR4, 237897 Inglis Fall Rd  
Owen Sound ON  N4K 5N6

Luke Charbonneau  
Chair, Saugeen Valley Source Protection Authority  
C/O Grey Sauble Source Protection Authority  
RR4, 237897 Inglis Falls Rd  
Owen Sound ON  N4K 5N6

Milt Mclver  
Chair, Northern Bruce Peninsula Source Protection Authority  
C/O Grey Sauble Source Protection Authority  
RR4, 237897 Inglis Falls Rd  
Owen Sound ON  N4K 5N6

Bill Twaddle  
Chair, Saugeen, Grey Sauble, Northern Bruce Peninsula Source Protection Committee  
2771 9th Avenue East  
Owen Sound ON  N4K 3H6

Dear Ms. Little, Mr. Charbonneau, Mr. Mclver and Mr. Twaddle:

I am following up on your proposed workplan for the review and update of the assessment reports and source protection plan for the Saugeen, Grey Sauble, Northern Bruce Peninsula Source Protection Region. The workplan, dated November 29, 2019, was submitted in compliance with the order dated October 16, 2015, issued under section 36 of the Clean Water Act.

I am pleased to note that your workplan confirms that implementation of the source protection plan is going well, and I appreciate the level of effort you put into the analysis of your assessment reports and plan.

Pursuant to subsection 36 (1) of the Clean Water Act, I am amending the order dated October 16, 2015, to establish requirements governing the content and timeframes of the review and the process to be followed for any updates to your assessment reports and plan. The source protection committee shall update the assessment reports and source protection plan to address the workplan items identified in the attachment to this order and ensure those changes comply with the General Regulation (O. Reg. 287/07) and Director’s Technical Rules.
I would also like to thank you for considering other updates of local interest. While not specifically required, I would encourage you to work with both your community and your municipalities to find cooperative ways to move forward with items that are consistent with the Act, its regulations and Director's Technical Rules that are in effect at the time of the updates. Staff in the Ministry's drinking water source protection program are also available to provide you advice on this. You may contact Debbie Scanlon, Manager Approvals Section (647-627-5917) if you have any questions.

When undertaking any updates, the source protection committee and lead source protection authority must follow the amendment process and consultation requirements set out in the attachment to this letter. All updates carried out under section 36 of the Clean Water Act are to be submitted to Ministry within six months after the completion of the required updates and consultation.

Thank you for the continued efforts of the source protection authorities, committee and local communities to ensure sources of drinking water are protected. Significant progress has been made in source protection and the province looks forward to continuing to work with you and all stakeholders to protect sources of drinking water.

Sincerely,

Jeff Yurek
Minister

Enclosure

C: Carl Seider, Project Manager, SGSNBP Source Protection Region
Karen Gillan, Program Supervisor, SGSNBP Source Protection Region
Susan Ecclestone, Director, Source Protection Programs Branch, MECP
Debbie Scanlon, Approvals Manager, Source Protection Programs Branch, MECP
Erin Harkins, Program Analyst, MECP
Tea Pesheva, Liaison Officer, MECP
Attachment: Saugeen, Grey Sauble, Northern Bruce Peninsula Updates under Section 36 of the Clean Water Act

*Mandatory Updates to the Assessment Reports and Source Protection Plan*

The Saugeen, Grey Sauble, Northern Bruce Peninsula Region Source Protection Committee and the Grey Sauble Source Protection Authority, who is performing the functions of the lead source protection authority for the region, shall ensure that the review includes updates to the assessment reports and source protection plan for the Saugeen, Grey Sauble, Northern Bruce Peninsula Region to:

- Comply with the amendments made to the Director’s Technical Rules, published on the Environmental Registry in March 2017 under posting number 012-8507 and the 2018 amendments to the Rules and General Regulation (O. Reg. 287/07). For your source protection region this includes:
  - assessing locations where liquid hydrocarbon pipelines and the above-grade handling and storage of fuel pose a significant, moderate and low risk in the assessment reports and plan, and ensuring policies apply to all relevant protection zones;
  - updating the significant groundwater recharge areas in the assessment reports and plan to align with the amended Technical Rules, including any related policies; and
  - updating the assessment reports and source protection plan to revise references to circumstances, chemicals of concern or thresholds that may have changed as a result of changes to the Technical Rules.

- Include technical work for changes to drinking water systems, including the Durham (Municipality of West Grey), Dundalk (Municipality of Southgate) and Burgoyne (Arran-Elderslie) systems under O. Reg 205/18 of the Safe Drinking Water Act.
  - the source protection committee, authority and affected municipality should jointly determine if it would be more appropriate to have the source protection authority propose amendments under section 34 of the Clean Water Act to deal with the changes to any drinking water systems, as opposed to including these updates as part of the review under section 36.

- Assess lands surrounding Ruhl Lake (Brockton) for previously unidentified surface water transport pathways and revising the protection zone accordingly, where the committee, authority and municipality determine it is necessary.

- Further assess and make revisions to address implementation challenges associated with risk management plan policies for agriculture as well as road salt management.

*Amendment Process for Updates including Consultation:*

The rules that source protection committees and source protection authorities were required to follow under the Clean Water Act in preparing, consulting on and submitting assessment reports and source protection plans to the Ministry will generally apply when
making updates as part of the review. However, as with locally initiated amendments, any proposed updates to an assessment report and plan are to be consulted on concurrently and submitted together as one package to the Ministry by the source protection authority.

Only those provisions of the *Clean Water Act* and the General Regulation (O. Reg. 287/07) referred to below apply to the update of your assessment reports and source protection plan. For example, as no terms of reference is being required for the review and update of the assessment reports and plan, subsection 36 (3) of the *Clean Water Act* does not apply.

Accordingly, for the updates to the assessment reports and source protection plan, the following provisions apply:

- Assessment report content outlined in section 15 of the *Clean Water Act* and sections 11 to 14 of the General Regulation.
- Source protection plan content outlined in subsections 22 (2) to (15) of the *Clean Water Act* and sections 20 to 34 of the General Regulation.

Updates to the assessment reports and source protection plan shall be made in consultation with affected municipalities, the Ministry, and any other bodies responsible for implementing a policy that may be revised by as part of the updates. Consultation shall include the following minimum requirements:

- Early consultation on any draft updates to the assessment reports and plan with the Source Protection Programs Branch prior to carrying out pre-consultation with other bodies.
- Pre-consultation with all implementing bodies as well as persons and businesses engaged in significant drinking water threats in the geographic areas affected by the updates in accordance with sections 35 to 39 of the General Regulation.
- Consultation for a minimum of 35 days, as outlined below.

The Explanatory Document that accompanies the plan (section 40, General Regulation) shall also be updated to reflect the proposed changes to the plan and made available for consultation.

While consulting on the proposed updates to the assessment reports and source protection plan in accordance with the requirements set out above, comments received shall be documented, considered and addressed prior to advancing to the next consultation stage or finalizing the proposed updates to the assessment reports and plan.

Notification and publication of the proposed updates shall follow the provisions included in the General Regulation for assessment reports and plans as described below. This includes making the proposed updates available on the Internet and in locations that are accessible to give the public and other interested parties an opportunity to inspect and comment on the updates. Specifically, consultation on the proposed updates to the assessment reports and plan shall occur concurrently and sections 23 to 25 of the *Clean Water Act* and section 41 of the General Regulation apply with the modifications noted below:

- References to a draft or proposed source protection plan shall be read as references to the proposed updates to the assessment reports and source
protection plan that result from the review carried out under section 36 of the Clean Water Act.

- Notices shall be provided to the clerk of each municipality and all other persons and bodies listed in section 41 that are affected by the proposed updates.
- A public meeting referred to in clause (c) of subsection 41 (3) and in subsection 41 (7) is optional as determined by the source protection committee in consideration of the nature and scope of the proposed updates.
Source Protection Plan - Section 36 Workplan Approved!

January 22, 2020

Owen Sound – The Section 36 Workplan for Comprehensive Review and Update of the Saugeen, Grey Sauble, Northern Bruce Peninsula Source Protection Plan has been approved by the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

The Workplan is required to include detailed steps for the comprehensive review and update of the Assessment Report and Source Protection Plan, and be developed in consultation with the Saugeen, Grey Sauble, Northern Bruce Peninsula Source Protection Committee (SPC), municipalities, and the Ministry of the Environment Conservation and Parks.

Local workplan activities will focus on: possible amendments to address increased salt storage and application threats; potential new municipal drinking water systems; fuel storage threats around the East Linton drinking water intake; policy effectiveness in respect to some higher risks areas; and associated edits due to provincial technical rule updates.

A total of 25 municipal meetings were held between May 2018 and August 2019 to seek municipal input on possible Source Protection Plan amendments that would be part of the s.36 Workplan review. These meetings included municipal planning staff and public/water works departments.

Source Protection Authority (SPA) meetings were held with Northern Bruce Peninsula SPA on September 23, 2019, Grey Sauble SPA on September 25, 2019 and Saugeen Valley SPA on November 7, 2019 to review and endorse the proposed s.36 Workplan. The Source Protection Committee reviewed and endorsed the s.36 Workplan for Comprehensive Review and Update of the Saugeen, Grey Sauble, Northern Bruce Peninsula Source Protection Plan on October 25, 2019.

Going forward, any activities that impact a municipal drinking water supply and its users will follow a full public consultation process, as conducted for previous Source Protection Plan amendments.

The Source Protection Committee has pursued the goal of clean safe drinking water for residents and visitors to this Region and will continue these efforts as we continue with the Source Protection Plan implementation.

-30-

For more information contact:

Carl Seider, Project Manager, c.seider@waterprotection.ca 519-470-3000 x 201

Bill Twaddle, Source Protection Committee Chair, mail@waterprotection.ca
January 30, 2020

Dear Municipal Partner:

First, I want to take the opportunity to wish you a Happy New Year.

Further to the letter I sent you on December 16, 2019, I am pleased to notify you that our survey to inform the development of Ontario’s next Poverty Reduction Strategy is now live on Ontario.ca/povertytsurvey and will be available online until March 30, 2020.

Our government believes that the people of Ontario are the province’s greatest asset and when the people of Ontario succeed, our economy and province succeed. It is our shared responsibility to create the best conditions for people to reach their potential. We need and we want to listen to municipalities, Indigenous partners, members of the community, service providers, employers, and local partners to find new and innovative ways to support people during challenging times and create the conditions that will help them build a better life.

To support the development of our new Poverty Reduction Strategy, we are asking residents of Ontario to take 30 minutes and answer our survey as we seek new ideas on how we can:

- Encourage job creation and connect people to employment
- Provide people with the right supports and services
- Lower the cost of living and make life more affordable.

As part of a new strategy, we will set a target for poverty reduction and identify indicators to measure progress to ensure we are achieving results.

The survey can be accessed at Ontario.ca/povertytsurvey. Please feel free to share the survey link with your community members and colleagues.

.../cont'd
In addition, we are also welcoming written submissions that can be sent by e-mail to prso@ontario.ca or by mail to Poverty Reduction Strategy, 3rd Floor, 315 Front Street West, Toronto ON, M7A 0B8. If there are any questions on how any personal information such as names and addresses that are included with a submission will be used, please contact: Manager, Strategic Policy Unit, MCCSS by e-mail at prso@ontario.ca or by telephone at (647) 308-9963.

I encourage you to share information about this consultation, including the survey link, with service providers, Indigenous partners and businesses in your community. I look forward to receiving input from Ontario residents as we work together to make a difference in reducing poverty in the province.

Sincerely,

Todd Smith
Minister
2019 Year-End Assessment Report

for the 2020 tax year

As of December 2019
Valuing Ontario

In Ontario, there are more than 5 million properties representing $2.96 trillion in property value. It’s MPAC’s role to assess and classify every property, supporting the collection of nearly $21 billion in municipal taxes annually.

This report provides an overview of the work we undertook throughout 2019 to support municipalities and stakeholders, meet service level standards, and deliver an updated and stable assessment roll.

2019 by the numbers*

5.36 million properties assessed and classified in Ontario

Representing $2.96 trillion in property value

Delivered more than 800,000 Property Assessment Notices

Supported the collection of nearly $21 billion in municipal taxes annually

Delivered more than $37 billion in new assessment to municipalities across the province. More than 85% of new assessment was processed within one year of occupancy.

Completed just over 15,000 Requests for Reconsideration

Completed 25,927 appeals

Our Municipal and Stakeholder Relations team participated in 18 municipal sector conferences across Ontario

Met 91% of our Municipal Service Level Agreement objectives

*As of October 31, 2019
Building and strengthening municipal partnerships

In early 2019, we made a strategic change so that we could serve you better. Under the leadership of Carmelo Lipsi, Vice-President and Chief Operating Officer, our municipal relationship experts joined the same business unit as our assessors. This integration has strengthened our collective knowledge and understanding of your communities, how we can support you, and enabled us to be more efficient in our customer service.

We welcomed many new municipal elected officials after the October 2018 elections and, in 2019, we have enjoyed orienting these new leaders about who we are, what we do and how we can support municipalities. We continue to do this through Council presentations, meetings with local municipal partners and informational materials.

We are committed to keeping these conversations with you going, especially as we prepare to deliver the 2020 Assessment Update.

Partnerships in action

We’re proud of the municipal partnerships we’ve developed and are working hard to demonstrate our value to you, to listen to you and to continuously improve. These stories from the field highlight some of the ways we’re doing just that.

Supporting the City of Ottawa through spring flooding

MPAC continues to review properties during non-Assessment Update years, and will update an assessment if a change occurs. But what happens if these changes are due to damage from natural disasters like flooding, tornados or other extreme weather events? In this case, property owners of buildings damaged to the point of being unusable might contact their municipality asking about a property tax reduction or refund.

When these unfortunate situations happen, municipalities can rely on MPAC to provide the information they need to make informed decisions about tax applications and process the applications quickly.

"Many properties along the Ottawa River experienced flooding this spring, creating uncertainty and stress for many of our residents," says Mishele Joanis, Program Manager, Customer Accounts Branch, City of Ottawa. “We were again impressed with MPAC’s highly collaborative approach to managing the situation. Together, we were able to find solutions so that property owners got the information they needed faster.”

Delivering exceptional customer service to Tarbutt Township

Whether presenting at a Council meeting, looking into a property owner’s concern, or sharing information with municipal partners at industry conferences, MPAC’s knowledgeable and professional employees are committed to delivering exceptional customer service.

“In a time where customer service seems to be lacking, I think MPAC has achieved a high customer service standard,” explains Caryn Orchard, Deputy Clerk-Treasurer, Tarbutt Township. “From the dealings that I have had with your employees, they have been nothing short of kind, helpful and knowledgeable. I feel that going forward with MPAC and the culture that it is trying to represent, you have some excellent staff to get you there.”
Partnering with the Town of Lakeshore to find solutions

When there are bumps in the road, your local Municipal and Stakeholder Relations team is ready to help by bringing together the right people and resources to find a solution.

A web service submission feature recently had a system error that was causing building permits submitted by the Town of Lakeshore to be rejected. The local Account Manager quickly arranged a meeting with Lakeshore’s IT, finance and building department staff, the municipal vendor, and MPAC’s IT and Central Processing Facility experts.

“As soon as we brought the issue forward, our Account Manager proactively reached out to all the relevant parties and organized a series of meetings where we isolated the cause and fixed the problem,” says Michelle Heslop, Supervisor of Revenue, Town of Lakeshore. “It’s that kind of proactive customer service that makes MPAC a valued partner.”

“This year marks our 20th anniversary as Ontario’s property assessment agency. As a customer-focused organization, we’re committed to continually innovating to keep your trust.”

Nicole McNeill, President and CAO
2019 AMO Conference, Ottawa
What’s next?

With delivery of the 2019 assessment roll on December 10, 2019, we are shifting focus to the province-wide Assessment Update in 2020, when we update the assessed value of every property in Ontario. We understand how important early engagement is to you so you can understand the assessments in your community, and we know that you want to be part of the conversation.

You’ll hear more about opportunities for engagement in early 2020. We look forward to your insights and feedback as we work toward this next milestone together. Your local Municipal and Stakeholder Relations team is available to support you with any of our products or services. Please contact your Regional Manager or Account Manager, if you have any questions about this report.

Connect with us For information and timely updates

Follow Us:

@MPAC_Ontario
MPAC on LinkedIn
MPAC on YouTube

➜ Read InTouch, our monthly newsletter
➜ Join the conversation at our monthly webinar

Have a question?
Visit mpac.ca/municipalities to find your local representative
About MPAC

The Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) is an independent, not-for-profit corporation funded by all Ontario municipalities, accountable to the Province, municipalities and property taxpayers through its 13-member Board of Directors. Our role is to accurately assess and classify all properties in Ontario in compliance with the Assessment Act and regulations set by the Government of Ontario. We are the largest assessment jurisdiction in North America, assessing and classifying more than 5.3 million properties with an estimated total value of $2.96 trillion.

Si vous avez des besoins d'une copie de cette material en français, veuillez contactez-nous.
## APPENDIX 1
Assessment Change Summary by Property Class
Town of The Blue Mountains

The following chart provides a comparison of the total assessment for the 2016 base year, and a comparison of the assessment change for 2019 and 2020 property tax year by property class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class/Realty Tax Class</th>
<th>2016 Full CVA</th>
<th>2019 Phased-In CVA</th>
<th>2020 Phased-In CVA</th>
<th>Percent Change 2019 to 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R Residential</td>
<td>3,968,438,350</td>
<td>3,888,383,138</td>
<td>3,968,438,350</td>
<td>2.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O Resort Condominium</td>
<td>237,943,100</td>
<td>237,400,600</td>
<td>237,943,100</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Multi-Residential</td>
<td>5,353,000</td>
<td>5,235,814</td>
<td>5,353,000</td>
<td>2.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Commercial</td>
<td>155,698,321</td>
<td>154,508,429</td>
<td>155,698,321</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Shopping Centre</td>
<td>285,823</td>
<td>268,410</td>
<td>285,823</td>
<td>6.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Commercial (New Construction)</td>
<td>25,693,600</td>
<td>25,420,728</td>
<td>25,693,600</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Industrial</td>
<td>7,962,972</td>
<td>7,953,354</td>
<td>7,962,972</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Industrial (New Construction)</td>
<td>1,598,000</td>
<td>1,592,558</td>
<td>1,598,000</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Pipeline</td>
<td>6,761,000</td>
<td>6,608,313</td>
<td>6,761,000</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Farm</td>
<td>150,857,209</td>
<td>143,068,916</td>
<td>150,857,209</td>
<td>5.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Managed Forests</td>
<td>18,998,806</td>
<td>18,343,163</td>
<td>18,998,806</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PIL) R Residential</td>
<td>20,458,800</td>
<td>19,791,525</td>
<td>20,458,800</td>
<td>3.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PIL) C Commercial</td>
<td>8,860,800</td>
<td>8,757,031</td>
<td>8,860,800</td>
<td>1.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PIL) H Landfill</td>
<td>582,800</td>
<td>572,600</td>
<td>582,800</td>
<td>1.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Exempt</td>
<td>109,132,800</td>
<td>105,859,959</td>
<td>109,132,800</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>4,718,625,381</td>
<td>4,623,764,538</td>
<td>4,718,625,381</td>
<td>2.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX 2
Assessment Base Distribution Summary by Property Class
Town of The Blue Mountains

This chart provides a comparison of the distribution of the total assessment for the 2016 base year, and the 2019 and 2020 phased-in assessment, which includes the percentage of the total assessment base by property class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class/Realty Tax Class</th>
<th>2016 Full CVA</th>
<th>Percentage of Total 2016 CVA</th>
<th>2019 Phased-In CVA</th>
<th>Percentage of Total 2019 Phased-In CVA</th>
<th>2020 Phased-In CVA</th>
<th>Percentage of Total 2020 Phased-In CVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R Residential</td>
<td>3,968,438,350</td>
<td>84.10%</td>
<td>3,888,383,138</td>
<td>84.10%</td>
<td>3,968,438,350</td>
<td>84.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O Resort Condominium</td>
<td>237,943,100</td>
<td>5.04%</td>
<td>237,400,600</td>
<td>5.13%</td>
<td>237,943,100</td>
<td>5.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Multi-Residential</td>
<td>5,353,000</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
<td>5,235,814</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
<td>5,353,000</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Commercial</td>
<td>155,698,321</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
<td>154,508,429</td>
<td>3.34%</td>
<td>155,698,321</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Shopping Centre</td>
<td>285,823</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>268,410</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>285,823</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Commercial (New Construction)</td>
<td>25,693,600</td>
<td>0.54%</td>
<td>25,420,728</td>
<td>0.55%</td>
<td>25,693,600</td>
<td>0.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Industrial</td>
<td>7,962,972</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>7,953,354</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>7,962,972</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Industrial (New Construction)</td>
<td>1,598,000</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>1,592,558</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>1,598,000</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Pipeline</td>
<td>6,761,000</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>6,608,313</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>6,761,000</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Farm</td>
<td>150,857,209</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
<td>143,068,916</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
<td>150,857,209</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Managed Forests</td>
<td>18,998,806</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>18,343,163</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>18,998,806</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PIL) R Residential</td>
<td>20,458,800</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
<td>19,791,525</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
<td>20,458,800</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PIL) C Commercial</td>
<td>8,860,800</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>8,757,031</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>8,860,800</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PIL) H Landfill</td>
<td>582,800</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>572,600</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>582,800</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Exempt</td>
<td>109,132,800</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
<td>105,859,959</td>
<td>2.29%</td>
<td>109,132,800</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,718,625,381</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,623,764,538</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,718,625,381</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notice of Public Hearing

Committee of Adjustment

Application for a Minor Variance to Zoning By-law 2018-65

Property Location: 126 Crestview Court

Public Meeting: February 19, 2020 at 4:00 PM
Town Hall, Council Chambers
32 Mill Street, Thornbury, ON

What is being proposed?

The purpose of this application is to consider a request for minor variance to the Residential R1-1-16 zone provisions of Zoning By-law 2018-65.

The effect of the application is to permit the construction of an attached covered deck in the rear yard of the property. In accordance with the Zoning By-law, attached covered decks are subject to the setback requirements applicable to the main dwelling. The applicant has requested the following variances:

1. To permit a rear yard setback of 21.5m for an attached covered deck, whereas a minimum of 24m is required for the main dwelling in the R1-1-16 zone

The legal description of the lands is Lot 35, Registered Plan 16M-51.

What happens at the Public Hearing?

The public hearing is your chance to make your views about the proposal known. Information from the public will help the Committee in their decision making process, so make sure to have your say!

Where do I find more information?

Additional information is available during regular office hours in the Planning Division of the Planning & Development Services Department at Town Hall. You may also request a copy of this notice by contacting:

Secretary-Treasurer,
Committee of Adjustment
Town of The Blue Mountains
32 Mill Street, PO Box 310
Thornbury, ON N0H 2P0
Phone: (519) 599-3131 ext.263
Toll Free: (888) 258-6867
Fax: 519-599-7723
Email: planning@thebluemountains.ca

A note about information you may submit to the Town:

Under the authority of the Municipal Act, 2001 and in accordance with Ontario’s Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA), all information provided for, or at a Public Meeting, Public Consultation, or other Public Process are considered part of the public record, including resident deputations. This information may be posted on the Town’s website, and/or made available to the public upon request.

Questions? Ask the Planner!

Travis Sandberg, Planner I
Phone: (519) 599-3131 ext. 283 or Toll Free (888) 258-6867
Email: planning@thebluemountains.ca

This document can be made available in other accessible formats as soon as practicable upon request.
Notice of Public Hearing

Committee of Adjustment

Application for a Minor Variance to Zoning By-law 2018-65

Property Location: 38 Alice Street West

Public Meeting: February 19, 2020 at 4:00 PM
Town Hall, Council Chambers
32 Mill Street, Thornbury, ON

What is being proposed?

The purpose of this application is to consider a request for minor variance to the Residential R1-1 zone provisions of Zoning By-law 2018-65. The effect of the application is to permit the construction of 342 sq.m. single detached dwelling on the subject lands. The following variances are requested by the applicant:

1. To permit a maximum lot coverage of 32%, whereas a maximum of 30% is permitted in the R1-1 zone;

The legal description of the lands is Thornbury Plan Part Park Lot 6, North East Alice Street, Registered Plan 16R-7545, Part 1.

What happens at the Public Hearing?

The public hearing is your chance to make your views about the proposal known. Information from the public will help the Committee in their decision making process, so make sure to have your say!

Where do I find more information?

Additional information is available during regular office hours in the Planning Division of the Planning & Development Services Department at Town Hall. You may also request a copy of this notice by contacting:

Secretary-Treasurer,
Committee of Adjustment
Town of The Blue Mountains
32 Mill Street, PO Box 310
Thornbury, ON N0H 2P0
Phone: (519) 599-3131 ext.263
Toll Free: (888) 258-6867
Fax: 519-599-7723
Email: planning@thebluemountains.ca

A note about information you may submit to the Town:

Under the authority of the Municipal Act, 2001 and in accordance with Ontario’s Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA), all information provided for, or at a Public Meeting, Public Consultation, or other Public Process are considered part of the public record, including resident deputations. This information may be posted on the Town’s website, and/or made available to the public upon request.

Questions? Ask the Planner!

Travis Sandberg, Planner I
Phone: (519) 599-3131 ext. 283 or
Toll Free (888) 258-6867
Email: planning@thebluemountains.ca

This document can be made available in other accessible formats as soon as practicable upon request.
Mayor Soever and Council,

It saddens and fills me with sorrow, to learn that Odette Bartnicki has resigned from her duties as Deputy Mayor, in The Town of the Blue Mountains. As I’ve known Odette professionally and personally for 38 years, I can, confidently, attest to both her work ethic and character. I am aware of her many accomplishments, while serving as Deputy Mayor and further believe that both the Council and citizens have lost a valuable partner and spokesperson.

Odette has never shied away from committees or group collaboration. Many colleagues would confirm her ability to work jointly and without partisan.

Her many accomplishments, while serving for a very short period of time, have been impressive. Her compassion, empathy and dedication to her community were apparent when Odette firmly opposed the development on Moreau Park and Heritage Park (December 18 and July 2019). However, her voice didn’t appear to matter, when it was so evident that Council rudely opposed her position. Many seniors were elated and put at ease when Odette worked cooperatively with the Grey County Task Force to take the Grey Gables Long-Term Care off the closure list. Odette advocated to the Heads of three Medical Schools, as well as to Provincial Ministers Christine Elliott and Merrilee Fullerton, regarding the necessity to recruit physicians and address health care issues, in the community. The establishment of the new Health Care Funding Committee in Grey County is credited to Odette.

Furthermore, concrete actions were initiated, to protect the environment by advocating the voluntary ban on single-use plastics on June 3rd and the Climate Change Emergency Declaration on October 21st. This initiative is almost a forerunner for several areas Those who heard Odette on CBC radio (and other stations) were impacted and impressed by her arguments. She has displayed much support with the Climate Action Now Network and the Bruce Grey Sustainability Network. When Foodland decided to eliminate single-use plastic bags, Odette purchased and distributed hundreds of reusable shopping bags, at her own costs. - an effective, powerful solution to protect our environment. Odette used social media to reach out to a wide audience to invite comments and suggestions about the environment.

She proudly, confidently and knowledgeably speaks, on behalf of the Council and The Town of The Blue Mountains at various events and venues - the FES Ski Cross World Cup, CIBC Run for the Cure, Rainbow Ski Weekend, Travel Industry Council Ontario Conference and the Walk for Alzheimer's.
When Odette was elected as Deputy Mayor, she was dedicated, determined and was quite eager to help improve and contribute to this community and address the needs, expectations and desires of her constituents. What has happened to Odette has been a travesty and reflects poorly on the members of this Town Council and some of its residents. To be threatened, attacked verbally, mocked, disregarded, disrespected, harassed and shunned by such people, in this small town, is a gross injustice. It makes one question and reflect upon the integrity and maturity of those people who were determined to degrade and oppose a new voice in the community.

With so many accomplishments, during such a short term, The Town of the Blue Mountains has benefited and a partial legacy of a highly regarded and revered lady has been established. The loss of her presence, in this community, will be visible and resonate with all who truly appreciated her value and worth.

J. Waliczek